

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

# WANNATE<sup>™</sup> HTBL-275MS

# WANHUA CHEMICAL GROUP Co., LTD.

Version No: 10.17 Safety Data Sheet Safety Data Sheet - Authored according to GB/T16483(2008) and GB/T17519(2013) Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 2

Issue Date: 05/02/2020 Print Date: 05/02/2020 L.GHS.CHN.EN

# SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

#### Product Identifier

Product name	WANNATE™ HTBL-275MS	
Synonyms	5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer	
Proper shipping name	RESIN SOLUTION, flammable	
Other means of identification Not Available		

# Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses Binding agents, intermediates; other isocyanate component for polyure thanes

### Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	WANHUA CHEMICAL GROUP Co., LTD.	
Address	No. 59 Chongqing Street, YEDA, Yantai, Shandong Province, China.	
Telephone	0535-3031150	
Fax	0535-338222-1150	
Website	http://www.whchem.com	
Email whsds@whchem.com		

#### Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	ganisation Emergency Center of China	
Emergency telephone numbers	+86 532-83889090	
Other emergency telephone numbers	+86 535-8203123	

# **SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION**

# Classification of the substance or mixture

#### SUMMARY OF HAZARD IN AN EMERGENCY SITUATION

- Liquid.
- Does not mix with water.
- Sinks in water.Flammable.

Vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness.

Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed.

Classification <sup>[1]</sup>	ssification [1] Flammable Liquid Category 3, Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure Category 3 (narcotic effects), Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 3 Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure Category 1, Chronic Aquatic Hazard Category 3		
Legend:	gend: 1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from Catalog of Hazardous Chemical; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI		
abel elements			
Hazard pictogram(s)			

SIGNAL WORD DANGER

Hazard statement(s)

H226	Flammable liquid and vapour.	
H336 May cause drowsiness or dizziness.		
H315	H315 Causes skin irritation.	
H372	H372 Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure. (Respiratory system) (Inhalation)	
H412 Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects.		

# Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P210	P210 Keep away from heat, hot surfaces, sparks, open flames and other ignition sources. No smoking.	
P233	P233 Keep container tightly closed.	
P260	P260 Do not breathe mist/vapours/spray.	
P271 Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.		
P261	P261 Avoid breathing mist/vapours/spray.	
P270	Do not eat, drink or smoke when using this product.	
P273 Avoid release to the environment.		
P240	Ground/bond container and receiving equipment.	
P241	P241 Use explosion-proof electrical/ventilating/lighting/intrinsically safe equipment.	
P242	Use only non-sparking tools.	
P243	Take precautionary measures against static discharge.	
P264	Wash all exposed external body areas thoroughly after handling.	
P280	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.	

# Precautionary statement(s) Response

P321	P321 Specific treatment (see advice on this label).	
P370+P378	In case of fire: Use alcohol resistant foam or normal protein foam to extinguish.	
P312	P312 Call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider/if you feel unwell.	
P314	P314 Get medical advice/attention if you feel unwell.	
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water and soap.	
P303+P361+P353	IF ON SKIN (or hair): Take off immediately all contaminated clothing. Rinse skin with water/shower.	
P304+P340	IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing.	
P332+P313	P332+P313 If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.	
P362+P364	P362+P364         Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.	

# Precautionary statement(s) Storage

P403+P235	Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep cool.	
P405	Store locked up.	
P403+P233 Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep container tightly closed.		

# Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501 Dispose of contents/container to authorised hazardous or special waste collection point in accordance with any local regulation.

# Physical and Chemical Hazard

Liquid. Does not mix with water. Sinks in water.Flammable. Flammable. Toxic smoke/fumes in a fire.

### Health Hazards

Inhaled	The material is not thought to produce adverse health effects or irritation of the respiratory tract (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless, good hygiene practice requires that exposure be kept to a minimum and that suitable control measures be used in an occupational setting. Inhalation of vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness. This may be accompanied by narcosis, reduced alertness, loss of reflexes, lack of coordination and vertigo.	
Ingestion	The material has <b>NOT</b> been classified by EC Directives or other classification systems as 'harmful by ingestion'. This is because of the lack of corroborating animal or human evidence. The material may still be damaging to the health of the individual, following ingestion, especially where pre-existing organ (e.g liver, kidney) damage is evident. Present definitions of harmful or toxic substances are generally based on doses producing mortality rather than those producing morbidity (disease, ill-health). Gastrointestinal tract discomfort may produce nausea and vomiting. In an occupational setting however, ingestion of insignificant quantities is not thought to be cause for concern.	
Skin Contact	Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either produces inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or produces significant inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals, for up to four hours, such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.	

Continued...

# WANNATE<sup>™</sup> HTBL-275MS

	The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition Skin contact is not thought to have harmful health effects (as classified under EC Directives); the material may still produce health damage following entry through wounds, lesions or abrasions. Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects. Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.
Eye	Although the liquid is not thought to be an irritant (as classified by EC Directives), direct contact with the eye may produce transient discomfort characterised by tearing or conjunctival redness (as with windburn).
Chronic	Long-term exposure to the product is not thought to produce chronic effects adverse to health (as classified by EC Directives using animal models); nevertheless exposure by all routes should be minimised as a matter of course. Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed. Serious damage (clear functional disturbance or morphological change which may have toxicological significance) is likely to be caused by repeated or prolonged exposure. As a rule the material produces, or contains a substance which produces severe lesions. Such damage may become apparent following direct application in subchronic (90 day) toxicity studies or following sub-acute (28 day) or chronic (two-year) toxicity tests.

# **Environmental Hazards**

See Section 12

# Other hazards

May produce skin discomfort\*.

# SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

#### Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

# Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
163206-31-3	75	3.5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer
108-65-6	8	propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer.
64742-95-6	17	naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent

### **SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES**

# Description of first aid measures

-	
Eye Contact	<ul> <li>If this product comes in contact with eyes:</li> <li>Wash out immediately with water.</li> <li>If irritation continues, seek medical attention.</li> <li>Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.</li> </ul>
Skin Contact       If skin contact occurs:         Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear.         Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available).         Seek medical attention in event of irritation.	
Inhalation	<ul> <li>If fumes, aerosols or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area.</li> <li>Other measures are usually unnecessary.</li> </ul>
Ingestion	<ul> <li>Immediately give a glass of water.</li> <li>First aid is not generally required. If in doubt, contact a Poisons Information Centre or a doctor.</li> </ul>

# Advise for rescue team (PPE requirement for rescue personnel)

# Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

# SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES

# Extinguishing media

# Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility
----------------------

Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result

# Advice for firefighters

Fire Fighting	
Fire/Explosion Hazard	<ul> <li>Liquid and vapour are flammable.</li> <li>Moderate fire hazard when exposed to heat or flame.</li> <li>Vapour forms an explosive mixture with air.</li> </ul>

 Moderate explosion hazard when exposed to heat or flame.
 Vapour may travel a considerable distance to source of ignition.
 Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers.
 On combustion, may emit toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO).
 Combustion products include: carbon monoxide (CO2) other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.

SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

# Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

# Measures for Preventing Secondary Contamination

Refer to section above

# Environmental precautions

See section 12

### Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul> <li>Remove all ignition sources.</li> <li>Clean up all spills immediately.</li> <li>Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes.</li> <li>Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment.</li> <li>Contain and absorb small quantities with vermiculite or other absorbent material.</li> <li>Wipe up.</li> <li>Collect residues in a flammable waste container.</li> </ul>
Major Spills	

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

# SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE

Precautions for safe handling	
Safe handling	<ul> <li>Containers, even those that have been emptied, may contain explosive vapours.</li> <li>Do NOT cut, drill, grind, weld or perform similar operations on or near containers.</li> <li>Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation.</li> <li>Wear protective clothing when risk of overexposure occurs.</li> <li>Use in a well-ventilated area.</li> <li>Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps.</li> <li>DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked.</li> <li>Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>Avoid septatic buckets.</li> <li>Earth all lines and equipment.</li> <li>Use spark-free tools when handling.</li> <li>Avoid contact with incompatible materials.</li> <li>When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke.</li> <li>Keep containers securely sealed when not use.</li> <li>Avoid physical damage to containers.</li> <li>Always wash hands with soap and water after handling.</li> <li>Work clothes should be laundered separately.</li> <li>Use good occupational work practice.</li> <li>Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.</li> <li>Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions.</li> <li>DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin</li> </ul>
Other information	<ul> <li>Store in original containers in approved flammable liquid storage area.</li> <li>Store away from incompatible materials in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area.</li> <li>DO NOT store in pits, depressions, basements or areas where vapours may be trapped.</li> <li>No smoking, naked lights, heat or ignition sources.</li> <li>Storage areas should be clearly identified, well illuminated, clear of obstruction and accessible only to trained and authorised personnel - adequate security must be provided so that unauthorised personnel do not have access.</li> <li>Store according to applicable regulations for flammable materials for storage tanks, containers, piping, buildings, rooms, cabinets, allowable quantities and minimum storage distances.</li> <li>Use non-sparking ventilation systems, approved explosion proof equipment and intrinsically safe electrical systems.</li> <li>Have appropriate extinguishing capability in storage area (e.g. portable fire extinguishers - dry chemical, foam or carbon dioxide) and flammable gas detectors.</li> <li>Keep adsorbents for leaks and spills readily available.</li> <li>Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks.</li> <li>Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.</li> <li>In addition, for tank storages (where appropriate):</li> <li>Store in grounded, properly designed and approved vessels and away from incompatible materials.</li> <li>For bulk storages, consider use of floating roof or nitrogen blanketed vessels; where venting to atmosphere is possible, equip storage tank vents with flame arrestors; inspect tank vents during winter conditions for vapour/ ice build-up.</li> <li>Storage tanks should be above ground and diked to hold entire contents.</li> </ul>

Suitable container	<ul> <li>Packing as supplied by manufacturer.</li> <li>Plastic containers may only be used if approved for flammable liquid.</li> <li>Check that containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.</li> <li>For low viscosity materials (i) : Drums and jerry cans must be of the non-removable head type. (ii) : Where a can is to be used as an inner package, the can must have a screwed enclosure.</li> <li>For materials with a viscosity of at least 2680 cSt. (23 deg. C)</li> <li>For maturals with a viscosity of at least 2680 cSt. (23 deg. C)</li> <li>Manufactured product that requires stirring before use and having a viscosity of at least 20 cSt. (25 deg. C): (i) Removable head packaging; (ii) Cans with friction closures and (iii) low pressure tubes and cartridges may be used.</li> <li>Where combination packages are used, and the inner packages are of glass, there must be sufficient inert cushioning material in contact with inner and outer packages</li> <li>In addition, where inner packagings are glass and contain liquids of packing group I there must be sufficient inert absorbent to absorb any spillage, unless the outer packaging is a close fitting moulded plastic box and the substances are not incompatible with the plastic.</li> </ul>
Storage incompatibility	Avoid reaction with oxidising agents

# SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION

#### **Control parameters**

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

Not Available

### EMERGENCY LIMITS

Ingredient	Material name		TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer; (1-Methoxypropyl-2-acetate)		Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH			
3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer	Not Available	Not Available			
propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	Not Available	Not Available			
naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent	Not Available	Not Available			

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE BANDING

Ingredient	Occupational Exposure Band Rating	Occupational Exposure Band Limit	
3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer	с	> 1 to ≤ 10 parts per million (ppm)	
naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent	E	≤ 0.1 ppm	
Notes:	Occupational exposure banding is a process of assigning chemicals into specific categories or bands based on a chemical's potency and the adverse health outcomes associated with exposure. The output of this process is an occupational exposure band (OEB), which corresponds to a range of exposure concentrations that are expected to protect worker health.		

#### MATERIAL DATA

Sensory irritants are chemicals that produce temporary and undesirable side-effects on the eyes, nose or throat. Historically occupational exposure standards for these irritants have been based on observation of workers' responses to various airborne concentrations. Present day expectations require that nearly every individual should be protected against even minor sensory irritation and exposure standards are established using uncertainty factors or safety factors of 5 to 10 or more. On occasion animal no-observable-effect-levels (NOEL) are used to determine these limits where human results are unavailable. An additional approach, typically used by the TLV committee (USA) in determining respiratory standards for this group of chemicals, has been to assign ceiling values (TLV C) to rapidly acting irritants and to assign short-term exposure limits (TLV STELs) when the weight of evidence from irritation, bioaccumulation and other endpoints combine to warrant such a limit. In contrast the MAK Commission (Germany) uses a five-category system based on intensive odour, local irritation, and elimination half-life. However this system is being replaced to be consistent with the European Union (EU) Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL); this is more closely allied to that of the USA.

- OSHA (USA) concluded that exposure to sensory irritants can:
- cause inflammation
- $\ensuremath{{\bf F}}$  cause increased susceptibility to other irritants and infectious agents
- lead to permanent injury or dysfunction
- permit greater absorption of hazardous substances and
- ▶ acclimate the worker to the irritant warning properties of these substances thus increasing the risk of overexposure.

NOTE H: Special requirements exist in relation to classification and labelling of this substance. This note applies to certain coal- and oil -derived substances and to certain entries for groups of substances in Annex VI. European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

NOTE P: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.01% w/w benzene (EINECS No 200-753-7). Note E shall also apply when the substance is classified as a carcinogen. This note applies only to certain complex oil-derived substances in Annex VI.

European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

# Exposure controls

Appropriate engineering controls	Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection. The basic types of engineering controls are: Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk. Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard 'physically' away from the worker and ventilation that strategically 'adds' and 'removes' air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use. Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.

For flammable liquids and flammable gases, local exhaust ventilation or a process enclosure ventilation system may be required. Ventilation equipment should be explosion-resistant. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying 'escape' velocities which, in turn, determine the 'capture velocities' of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant. Type of Contaminant: Air Speed: 0.25-0.5 m/s solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air). (50-100 f/min.) 0.5-1 m/s aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, (100-200 plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation) f/min.) 1-2.5 m/s direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation (200-500 into zone of rapid air motion) f/min.) Within each range the appropriate value depends on: Lower end of the range Upper end of the range 1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture 1: Disturbing room air currents 2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only. 2: Contaminants of high toxicity 3: Intermittent, low production. 3: High production, heavy use 4: Large hood or large air mass in motion 4: Small hood-local control only Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min.) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used. Personal protection Safety glasses with side shields. Chemical goggles. Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption Eye and face protection and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent] Skin protection See Hand protection below Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application. The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice. Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended. Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include: frequency and duration of contact, chemical resistance of glove material, glove thickness and dexterity Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent). When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. Hands/feet protection When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use. Contaminated gloves should be replaced. As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as: Excellent when breakthrough time > 480 min Good when breakthrough time > 20 min Fair when breakthrough time < 20 min Poor when glove material degrades For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended. It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times. Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers' technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task

Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example:

Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these

	<ul> <li>gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of.</li> <li>Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is abrasion or puncture potential</li> <li>Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</li> </ul>
Body protection	See Other protection below
Other protection	<ul> <li>Overalls.</li> <li>PVC Apron.</li> <li>PVC protective suit may be required if exposure severe.</li> <li>Eyewash unit.</li> <li>Ensure there is ready access to a safety shower.</li> <li>Some plastic personal protective equipment (PPE) (e.g. gloves, aprons, overshoes) are not recommended as they may produce static electricity.</li> <li>For large scale or continuous use wear tight-weave non-static clothing (no metallic fasteners, cuffs or pockets).</li> <li>Non sparking safety or conductive footwear should be considered. Conductive footwear describes a boot or shoe with a sole made from a conductive compound chemically bound to the bottom components, for permanent control to electrically ground the foot an shall dissipate static electricity from the body to reduce the possibility of ignition of volatile compounds. Electrical resistance must range between 0 to 500,000 ohms. Conductive shoes should be stored in lockers close to the room in which they are worn. Personnel who have been issued conductive footwear should not wear them from their place of work to their homes and return.</li> </ul>

#### **Respiratory protection**

Type A Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Selection of the Class and Type of respirator will depend upon the level of breathing zone contaminant and the chemical nature of the contaminant. Protection Factors (defined as the ratio of contaminant outside and inside the mask) may also be important.

Required minimum protection factor	Maximum gas/vapour concentration present in air p.p.m. (by volume)	Half-face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator
up to 10	1000	A-AUS / Class1	-
up to 50	1000	-	A-AUS / Class 1
up to 50	5000	Airline *	-
up to 100	5000	-	A-2
up to 100	10000	-	A-3
100+			Airline**

\* - Continuous Flow \*\* - Continuous-flow or positive pressure demand

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO2), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH3), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown vapour concentrations or oxygen content.

- The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.
- Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

# SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

# Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	Slight yellow		
Physical state	Liquid	Relative density (Water = 1)	1.1
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	480
pH (as supplied)	Not Available	Decomposition temperature	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Available	Viscosity (cSt)	3600(25°C)
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	150	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Available
Flash point (°C)	53	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Flammable.	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	7.5	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	1	Volatile Component (%vol)	Not Available
Vapour pressure (kPa)	Not Available	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Immiscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Available	VOC g/L	Not Available

# SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Reactivity See section 7

Chemical stability	<ul> <li>Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials.</li> <li>Product is considered stable.</li> <li>Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.</li> </ul>
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

# SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

	TOXICITY		IRRITATION
WANNATE <sup>™</sup> HTBL-275MS	Not Available		Not Available
3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked	TOXICITY IRRITAT		ON
HDI trimer	Oral (rat) LD50: >1500 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup> Eye: no a		dverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
		Skin: no a	adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
	TOXICITY		IRRITATION
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >5000 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>		Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >5000 mg/kg* <sup>[2]</sup>		Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
propylene glycol monomethyl	Inhalation (Rat)LC0: >3100 mg/m3/4h *[2]		
ether acetate, alpha-isomer	Inhalation (Rat)LC50: 4345 ppm/6h <sup>[2]</sup>		
	Intraperitoneal (Mouse) LD50: 750 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>		
	Oral (rat) LD50: 8532 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>		
	Oral (Rat, adult male) LD50: >10000 mg/kg * <sup>[2]</sup>		
	ΤΟΧΙΟΙΤΥ		RRITATION
naphtha petroleum, light			Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
aromatic solvent	Oral (rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg * <sup>[2]</sup>		Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
Legend:			e toxicity 2.* Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise
	specified data extracted from RTECS - Registe		ennical Substances
	rabbits; but exposure to 145 ppm and 36 ppm I material, the remaining 90% is alpha isomer. H SDS for propylene glycol ethers (PGEs): Typical propylene glycol ethers include propyle ether acetate (DPMA); tripropylene glycol meth Testing of a wide variety of propylene glycol ethers are less toxic than some ethers of the e the ethylene series, such as adverse effects or not seen with the commercial-grade propylene alkoxyacetic acid. The reproductive and develow specifically to the formation of methoxyacetic a	had no adverse effect lazard appears low but ane glycol n-butyl ethe hyl ether (TPM). hers Testing of a wide thylene series. The co n reproductive organs, glycol ethers. In the e pomental toxicities of t nd ethoxyacetic acids	5 ppm PGMEA (beta isomer) was associated with a teratogenic response s. The beta isomer of PGMEA comprises only 10% of the commercial t emphasizes the need for care in handling this chemical. [I.C.I] *Shin-Etsu r (PnB); dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether (DPnB); dipropylene glycol methy variety of propylene glycol ethers has shown that propylene glycol-based mmon toxicities associated with the lower molecular weight homologues of the developing embryo and fetus, blood (haemolytic effects), or thymus, a thylene series, metabolism of the terminal hydroxyl group produces an he lower molecular weight homologues in the ethylene series are due ciated with the reproductive toxicity but can cause haemolysis in sensitive

propylene glycol monomethyl alkoxypropionic acids and these are linked to teratogenic effects (and possibly haemolytic effects). This alpha isomer comprises greater than 95% of the isomeric mixture in the commercial product.

Because the alpha isomer cannot form an alkoxypropionic acid, this is the most likely reason for the lack of toxicity shown by the PGEs as distinct from the lower molecular weight ethylene glycol ethers. More importantly, however, very extensive empirical test data show that this class of commercial-grade glycol ether presents a low toxicity hazard. PGEs, whether mono, di- or tripropylene glycol-based (and no matter what the alcohol group), show a very similar pattern of low to non-detectable toxicity of any type at doses or exposure levels greatly exceeding those showing pronounced effects from the ethylene series. One of the primary metabolites of the propylene glycol ethers is propylene glycol, which is of low toxicity and completely metabolised in the body.

As a class, the propylene glycol ethers are rapidly absorbed and distributed throughout the body when introduced by inhalation or oral exposure. Dermal absorption is somewhat slower but subsequent distribution is rapid. Most excretion for PGEs is via the urine and expired air. A small portion is excreted in the faeces.

As a group PGEs exhibits low acute toxicity by the oral, dermal, and inhalation routes. Rat oral LD50s range from >3,000 mg/kg (PnB) to >5,000 mg/kg (DPMA). Dermal LD50s are all > 2,000 mg/kg (PnB, & DPnB; where no deaths occurred), and ranging up to >15,000 mg/kg (TPM). Inhalation LC50 values were higher than 5,000 mg/m3 for DPMA (4-hour exposure), and TPM (1-hour exposure). For DPnB the 4-hour LC50 is >2,040 mg/m3. For PnB, the 4-hour LC50 was >651 ppm (>3,412 mg/m3), representing the highest practically attainable vapor level. No deaths occurred at these concentrations. PnB and TPM are moderately irritating to eyes while the remaining category members are only slightly irritating to non-irritating. PnB is moderately irritating to skin while the remaining category members are slightly to non-irritating

# None are skin sensitisers

In repeated dose studies ranging in duration from 2 to 13 weeks, few adverse effects were found even at high exposure levels and effects that did occur were mild in nature. By the oral route of administration, NOAELs of 350 mg/kg-d (PnB - 13 wk) and 450 mg/kg-d (DPnB - 13 wk) were observed for liver and kidney weight increases (without accompanying histopathology). LOAELs for these two chemicals were 1000 mg/kg-d (highest dose tested).

Dermal repeated-dose toxicity tests have been performed for many PGEs. For PnB, no effects were seen in a 13-wk study at doses as high as 1,000 mg/kg-d. A dose of 273 mg/kg-d constituted a LOAEL (increased organ weights without histopathology) in a 13-week dermal study for DPnB. For TPM, increased kidney weights (no histopathology) and transiently decreased body weights were found at a dose of 2,895 mg/kg-d in a 90-day study in rabbits. By inhalation, no effects were observed in 2-week studies in rats at the highest tested concentrations of 3244 mg/m3 (600 ppm) for PnB and 2,010 mg/m3 (260 ppm) for DPnB. TPM caused increased liver weights without histopathology by inhalation in a 2-week study at a LOAEL of 360 mg/m3 (43 ppm). In this study, the highest tested TPM concentration, 1010 mg/m3 (120 ppm), also caused increased liver weights without accompanying histopathology. Although no repeated-dose studies are available for the oral route for TPM, or for any route for DPMA, it is anticipated that these chemicals would behave similarly to other category members.

One and two-generation reproductive toxicity testing has been conducted in mice, rats, and rabbits via the oral or inhalation routes of exposure on PM and PMA. In an inhalation rat study using PM, the NOAEL for parental toxicity is 300 ppm (1106 mg/m3) with decreases in body and organ weights occurring at the LOAEL of 1000 ppm (3686 mg/m3). For offspring toxicity the NOAEL is 1000 ppm (3686 mg/m3), with decreased body weights occurring at 3000 ppm (11058 mg/m3). For PMA, the NOAEL for parental and offspring toxicity is 1000 mg/kg/d. in a two generation gavage study in rats. No adverse effects were found on reproductive organs, fertility rates, or other indices commonly monitored in such studies. In addition, there is no evidence from histopathological data from repeated-dose studies for the category members that would indicate that these chemicals would pose a reproductive hazard to human health.

In developmental toxicity studies many PGEs have been tested by various routes of exposure and in various species at significant exposure levels and show no frank developmental effects. Due to the rapid hydrolysis of DPMA to DPM, DPMA would not be expected to show teratogenic effects. At high doses where maternal toxicity occurs (e.g., significant body weight loss), an increased incidence of some anomalies such as delayed skeletal ossification or increased 13th ribs, have been reported. Commercially available PGEs showed no teratogenicity.

The weight of the evidence indicates that propylene glycol ethers are not likely to be genotoxic. In vitro, negative results have been seen in a number of assays for PnB, DPnB, DPMA and TPM. Positive results were only seen in 3 out of 5 chromosome aberration assays in mammalian cells with DPnB. However, negative results were seen in a mouse micronucleus assay with DPnB and PM. Thus, there is no evidence to suggest these PGEs would be genotoxic in vivo. In a 2-year bioassay on PM, there were no statistically significant increases in tumors in rats and mice. A BASF report (in ECETOC ) showed that inhalation exposure to 545 ppm PGMEA (beta isomer) was associated with a teratogenic response in rabbits; but exposure to 145 ppm and 36 ppm had no adverse effects.

The beta isomer of PGMEA comprises only 10% of the commercial material, the remaining 90% is alpha isomer. Hazard appears low but emphasizes the need for care in handling this chemical. [I.C.I]

Inhalation (rat) TCLo: 1320 ppm/6h/90D-I \* [Devoe] For Low Boiling Point Naphthas (LBPNs):

#### Acute toxicity:

LBPNs generally have low acute toxicity by the oral (median lethal dose [LD50] in rats > 2000 mg/kg-bw), inhalation (LD50 in rats > 5000 mg/m3) and dermal (LD50 in rabbits > 2000 mg/kg-bw) routes of exposure

Most LBPNs are mild to moderate eve and skin irritants in rabbits, with the exception of heavy catalytic cracked and heavy catalytic reformed naphthas, which have higher primary skin irritation indices

Sensitisation:

LBPNs do not appear to be skin sensitizers, but a poor response in the positive control was also noted in these studies Repeat dose toxicity:

The lowest-observed-adverse-effect concentration (LOAEC) and lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) values identified following short-term (2-89 days) and subchronic (greater than 90 days) exposure to the LBPN substances. These values were determined for a variety of endpoints after considering the toxicity data for all LBPNs in the group. Most of the studies were carried out by the inhalation route of exposure. Renal effects, including increased kidney weight, renal lesions (renal tubule dilation, necrosis) and hyaline droplet formation, observed in male rats exposed orally or by inhalation to most LBPNs, were considered species- and sex-specific These effects were determined to be due to a mechanism of action not relevant to humans -specifically, the interaction between hydrocarbon metabolites and alpha-2-microglobulin, an enzyme not produced in substantial amounts in female rats, mice and other species, including humans. The resulting nephrotoxicity and subsequent carcinogenesis in male rats were therefore not considered in deriving LOAEC/LOAEL values.

Only a limited number of studies of short-term and subchronic duration were identified for site-restricted LBPNs. The lowest LOAEC identified in these studies, via the inhalation route, is 5475 mg/m3, based on a concentration-related increase in liver weight in both male and female rats following a 13-week exposure to light catalytic cracked naphtha. Shorter exposures of rats to this test substance resulted in nasal irritation at 9041 mg/m3

No systemic toxicity was reported following dermal exposure to light catalytic cracked naphtha, but skin irritation and accompanying histopathological changes were increased, in a dose-dependent manner, at doses as low as 30 mg/kg-bw per day when applied 5 days per week for 90 days in rats

No non-cancer chronic toxicity studies (= 1 year) were identified for site-restricted LBPNs and very few non-cancer chronic toxicity studies were identified for other LBPNs. An LOAEC of 200 mg/m3 was noted in a chronic inhalation study that exposed mice and rats to unleaded gasoline (containing 2% benzene). This inhalation LOAEC was based on ocular discharge and ocular irritation in rats. At the higher concentration of 6170 mg/m3, increased kidney weight was observed in male and female rats (increased kidney weight was also observed in males only at 870 mg/m3). Furthermore, decreased body weight in male and female mice was also observed at 6170 mg/m3

A LOAEL of 714 mg/kg-bw was identified for dermal exposure based on local skin effects (inflammatory and degenerative skin changes) in mice following application of naphtha for 105 weeks. No systemic toxicity was reported.

#### Genotoxicity:

naphtha petroleum, light

aromatic solvent

Although few genotoxicity studies were identified for the site-restricted LBPNs, the genotoxicity of several other LBPN substances has been evaluated using a variety of in vivo and in vitro assays. While in vivo genotoxicity assays were negative overall, the in vitro tests exhibited mixed results

For in vivo genotoxicity tests, LBPNs exhibited negative results for chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei induction, but exhibited positive results in one sister chromatid exchange assay although this result was not considered definitive for clastogenic activity as no genetic material was unbalanced or lost. Mixtures that were tested, which included a number of light naphthas, displayed mixed results (i.e., both positive and negative for the same assay) for chromosomal aberrations and negative results for the dominant lethal mutation assay. Unleaded gasoline (containing 2% benzene) was tested for its ability to induce unscheduled deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis (UDS) and replicative DNA synthesis (RDS) in rodent hepatocytes and kidney cells. UDS and RDS were induced in mouse hepatocytes via oral exposure and RDS was induced in rat kidney cells via oral and inhalation exposure. Unleaded gasoline (benzene content not stated) exhibited negative results for chromosomal aberrations and the dominant lethal mutation assay and mixed results for atypical cell foci in rodent renal and hepatic cells. For in vitro genotoxicity studies, LBPNs were negative for six out of seven Ames tests, and were also negative for UDS and for forward mutations LBPNs exhibited mixed or equivocal results for the mouse lymphoma and sister chromatid exchange assays, as well as for cell transformation and positive results for one bacterial DNA repair assay. Mixtures that were tested, which included a number of light naphthas, displayed negative results for the Ames and mouse lymphoma assays Gasoline exhibited negative results for the Ames test battery, the sister chromatid exchange assay and for one mutagenicity assay . Mixed results were observed for UDS and the mouse lymphoma assay.

While the majority of in vivo genotoxicity results for LBPN substances are negative, the potential for genotoxicity of LBPNs as a group cannot be discounted based on the mixed in vitro genotoxicity results.

# Carcinogenicity:

Although a number of epidemiological studies have reported increases in the incidence of a variety of cancers, the majority of these studies are considered to contain incomplete or inadequate information. Limited data, however, are available for skin cancer and leukemia incidence, as well as mortality among petroleum refinery workers. It was concluded that there is limited evidence supporting the view that working in petroleum

refineries entails a carcinogenic risk (Group 2A carcinogen). IARC (1989a) also classified gasoline as a Group 2B carcinogen; it considered the evidence for carcinogenicity in humans from gasoline to be inadequate and noted that published epidemiological studies had several limitations, including a lack of exposure data and the fact that it was not possible to separate the effects of combustion products from those of gasoline itself. Similar conclusions were drawn from other reviews of epidemiological studies for gasoline (US EPA 1987a, 1987b). Thus, the evidence gathered from these epidemiological studies is considered to be inadequate to conclude on the effect

s of human exposure to LBPN substances.

No inhalation studies assessing the carcinogenicity of the site-restricted LBPNs were identified. Only unleaded gasoline has been examined for its carcinogenic potential, in several inhalation studies. In one study, rats and mice were exposed to 0, 200, 870 or 6170 mg/m3 of a 2% benzene formulation of the test substance, via inhalation, for approximately 2 years. A statistically significant increase in hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas, as well as a non-statistical increase in renal tumours, were observed at the highest dose in female mice. A dose-dependent increase in the incidence of primary renal neoplasms was also detected in male rats, but this was not considered to be relevant to humans, as discussed previously.Carcinogenicity was also assessed for unleaded gasoline, via inhalation, as part of initiation/promotion studies. In these studies, unleaded gasoline did not appear to initiate tumour formation, but did show renal cell and hepatic tumour promotion ability, when rats and mice

were exposed, via inhalation, for durations ranging from 13 weeks to approximately 1 year using an initiation/promotion protocol However, further examination of data relevant to the composition of unleaded gasoline demonstrated that this is a highly-regulated substance; it is expected to contain a lower percentage of benzene and has a discrete component profile when compared to other substances in the LBPN group.

Both the European Commission and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) have classified LBPN substances as carcinogenic. All of these substances were classified by the European Commission (2008) as Category 2 (R45: may cause cancer) (benzene content = 0.1% by weight). IARC has classified gasoline, an LBPN, as a Group 2B carcinogen (possibly carcinogenic to humans) and "occupational exposures in petroleum refining" as Group 2A carcinogens (probably carcinogenic to humans).

Several studies were conducted on experimental animals to investigate the dermal carcinogenicity of LBPNs. The majority of these studies were conducted through exposure of mice to doses ranging from 694-1351 mg/kg-bw, for durations ranging from 1 year to the animals' lifetime or until a tumour persisted for 2 weeks. Given the route of exposure, the studies specifically examined the formation of skin tumours. Results for carcinogenicity via dermal exposure are mixed. Both malignant and benign skin tumours were induced with heavy catalytic cracked naphtha, light

straight-run naphtha and naphtha Significant increases in squamous cell carcinomas were also observed when mice were dermally treated with Stoddard solvent, but the latter was administered as a mixture (90% test substance), and the details of the study were not available. In contrast, insignificant increases in tumour formation or no tumours were observed when light alkylate naphtha, heavy catalytic reformed naphtha, sweetened naphtha, light catalytically cracked naphtha

or unleaded gasoline was dermally applied to mice. Negative results for skin tumours were also observed in male mice dermally exposed to sweetened naphtha using an initiation/promotion protocol.

Reproductive/ Developmental toxicity:

No reproductive or developmental toxicity was observed for the majority of LBPN substances evaluated. Most of these studies were carried out by inhalation exposure in rodents.

NOAEC values for reproductive toxicity following inhalation exposure ranged from 1701 mg/m3 (CAS RN 8052-41-3) to 27 687 mg/m3 (CAS RN 64741-63-5) for the LBPNs group evaluated, and from 7690 mg/m3 to 27 059 mg/m3 for the site-restricted light catalytic cracked and full-range catalytic reformed naphthas. However, a decreased number of pups per litter and higher frequency of post-implantation loss were observed following inhalation exposure of female rats to hydrotreated heavy naphtha (CAS RN 8072-48-9) at a concentration of 4679 mg/m3, 6 hours per day, from gestational days 7-20. For dermal exposures, NOAEL values of 714 mg/kg-bw (CAS RN 8030-30-6) and 1000 mg/kg-bw per day (CAS RN 68513-02-0) were noted . For oral exposures, no adverse effects on reproductive parameters were reported when rats were given site-restricted light catalytic cateked naphtha at 2000 mg/kg on gestational day 13.

For most LBPNs, no treatment-related developmental effects were observed by the different routes of exposure However, developmental toxicity was observed for a few naphthas. Decreased foetal body weight and an increased incidence of ossification variations were observed when rat dams were exposed to light aromatized solvent naphtha, by gavage, at 1250 mg/kg-bw per day. In addition, pregnant rats exposed by inhalation to hydrotreated heavy naphtha at 4679 mg/m3 delivered pups with higher birth weights. Cognitive and memory impairments were also observed in the offspring.

#### Low Boiling Point Naphthas [Site-Restricted]

Studies indicate that normal, branched and cyclic paraffins are absorbed from the mammalian gastrointestinal tract and that the absorption of n-paraffins is inversely proportional to the carbon chain length, with little absorption above C30. With respect to the carbon chain lengths likely to be present in mineral oil, n-paraffins may be absorbed to a greater extent that iso- or cyclo-paraffins.

The major classes of hydrocarbons have been shown to be well absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract in various species. In many cases, the hydrophobic hydrocarbons are ingested in association with dietary lipids. The dependence of hydrocarbon absorption on concomitant triglyceride digestion and absorption, is known as the 'hydrocarbon continuum hypothesis', and asserts that a series of solubilising phases in the intestinal lumen, created by dietary triglycerides and their digestion products, afford hydrocarbons a route to the lipid phase of the intestinal absorptive cell (enterocyte) membrane. While some hydrocarbons may traverse the mucosal epithelium unmetabolised and appear as solutes in lipoprotein particles in intestinal lymph, there is evidence that most hydrocarbons partially separate from nutrient lipids and undergo metabolic transformation in the enterocyte. The enterocyte may play a major role in determining the proportion of an absorbed hydrocarbon that, by escaping initial biotransformation, becomes available for deposition in its unchanged form in peripheral tissues such as adipose tissue, or in the liver. For trimethylbenzenes:

Absorption of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene occurs after oral, inhalation, or dermal exposure. Occupationally, inhalation and dermal exposures are the most important routes of absorption although systemic intoxication from dermal absorption is not likely to occur due to the dermal irritation caused by the chemical prompting quick removal. Following oral administration of the chemical to rats, 62.6% of the dose was recovered as urinary metabolites indicating substantial absorption . 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene is lipophilic and may accumulate in fat and fatty tissues. In the blood stream, approximately 85% of the chemical is bound to red blood cells. Metabolism occurs by side-chain oxidation to form alcohols and carboxylic acids which are then conjugated with glucuronic acid, glycine, or sulfates for urinary excretion . After a single oral dose to rats of 1200 mg/kg, urinary metabolites consisted of approximately 43.2% glycine, 6.6% glucuronic, and 12.9% sulfuric acid conjugates . The two principle metabolites excreted by rabbits after oral administration of 438 mg/kg/day for 5 days were 2,4-dimethylbenzoic acid and 3,4-dimethylhippuric acid . The major routes of excretion of 1,2,4-trimethyl-benzene are exhalation of parent compound and elimination of urinary metabolites. Half-times

for urinary metabolites were reported as 9.5 hours for glycine, 22.9 hours for glucuronide, and 37.6 hours for sulfuric acid conjugates. **Acute Toxicity** Direct contact with liquid 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene is irritating to the skin and breathing the vapor is irritating to the respiratory tract causing pneumonitis. Breathing high concentrations of the chemical vapor causes headache, fatigue, and drowsiness. In humans liquid 1,2,4trimethylbenzene is irritating to the skin and inhalation of vapor causes chemical pneumonitis . High concentrations of vapor (5000-9000 ppm) cause headache, fatigue, and drowsiness . The concentration of 5000 ppm is roughly equivalent to a total of 221 mg/kg assuming a 30 minute exposure period (see end note 1). 2. Animals - Mice exposed to 8130-9140 ppm 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (no duration given) had loss of righting response and loss of reflexes Direct dermal contact with the chemical (no species given) causes vasodilation, erythema, and irritation (U.S. EPA ). Seven of 10 rats died after an oral dose of 2.5 mL of a mixture of trimethylbenzenes in olive oil (average dose approximately 4.4 g/kg) . Rats and mice were exposed by inhalation to a coal tar distillate containing about 70% 1,3,5- and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene; no pathological changes were noted in either species after exposure to 1800-2000 ppm for up to 48 continuous hours, or in rats after 14 exposures of 8 hours each at the same exposure levels . No effects were reported for rats exposed to a mixture of trimethyl-benzenes at 1700 ppm for 10 to 21 days **Neurotoxicity** 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene depresses the central nervous system. Exposure to solvent mixtures containing the chemical causes

headache, fatigue, nervousness, and vertigo (U.S. EPA). Headache, fatigue, and drowsiness were reported for workers exposed (no dose given) to paint thinner containing 80% 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzenes

Results of the developmental toxicity study indicate that the C9 fraction caused adverse neurological effects at the highest dose (1500 ppm) tested.

Subchronic/Chronic Toxicity Long-term exposure to solvents containing 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene may cause nervousness, tension, and bronchitis. Painters that worked for several years with a solvent containing 50% 1,2,4- and 30% 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene showed nervousness, tension and anxiety, asthmatic bronchitis, anemia, and alterations in blood clotting; haematological effects may have been due to trace amounts

# of benzene

Rats given 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene orally at doses of 0.5 or 2.0 g/kg/day, 5 days/week for 4 weeks. All rats exposed to the high dose died and 1 rat in the low dose died (no times given); no other effects were reported. Rats exposed by inhalation to 1700 ppm of a trimethylbenzene isomeric mixture for 4 months had decreased weight gain, lymphopenia and neutrophilia.

Genotoxicity: Results of mutagenicity testing, indicate that the C9 fraction does not induce gene mutations in prokaryotes (Salmonella tymphimurium/mammalian microsome assay); or in mammalian cells in culture (in Chinese hamster ovary cells with and without activation). The C9 fraction does not induce chromosome mutations in Chinese hamster ovary cells with and without activation; does not induce chromosome aberrations in the bone marrow of Sprague-Dawley rats exposed by inhalation (6 hours/day for 5 days); and does not induce sister chromatid exchange in Chinese hamster ovary cells with and without activation.

Developmental/Reproductive Toxicity: A three-generation reproductive study on the C9 fraction was conducted CD rats (30/sex/group) were exposed by inhalation to the C9 fraction at concentrations of 0, 100, 500, or 1500 ppm (0, 100, 500, or 1500 mg/kg/day) for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week. There was evidence of parental and reproductive toxicity at all dose levels. Indicators of parental toxicity included reduced body weights, increased salivation, hunched posture, aggressive behavior, and death. Indicators of adverse reproductive system effects included reduced litter size and reduced pup body weight. The LOEL was 100 ppm; a no-observed-effect level was not established Developmental toxicity, including possible develop- mental neurotoxicity, was evident in rats in a 3-generation reproductive study

No effects on fecundity or fertility occurred in rats treated dermally with up to 0.3 mL/rat/day of a mixture of trimethyl- benzenes, 4-6 hours/day, 5 days/week over one generation

For C9 aromatics (typically trimethylbenzenes - TMBs)

#### Acute Toxicity

Acute toxicity studies (oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure) have been conducted in rats using various solvent products containing predominantly mixed C9 aromatic hydrocarbons (CAS RN 64742-95-6). Inhalation LC50's range from 6,000 to 10,000 mg/m 3 for C9 aromatic naphtha and 18,000 to 24,000 mg/m3 for 1,2,4 and 1,3,5-TMB, respectively. A rat oral LD50 reported for 1,2,4-TMB is 5 grams/kg bw and a rat dermal LD50 for the C9 aromatic naphtha is >4 ml/kg bw. These data indicate that C9 aromatic solvents show that LD50/LC50 values are greater than limit doses for acute toxicity studies established under OECD test guidelines.

Irritation and Sensitization

Several irritation studies, including skin, eye, and lung/respiratory system, have been conducted on members of the category. The results indicate that C9 aromatic hydrocarbon solvents are mildly to moderately irritating to the skin, minimally irritating to the eye, and have the potential to irritate the respiratory tract and cause depression of respiratory rates in mice. Respiratory irritation is a key endpoint in the current occupational exposure limits established for C9 aromatic hydrocarbon solvents and trimethylbenzenes. No evidence of skin sensitization was identified. Repeated Dose Toxicity

Inhalation: The results from a subchronic (3 month) neurotoxicity study and a one-year chronic study (6 hr/day, 5 days/week) indicate that effects from inhalation exposure to C9 Aromatic Hydrocarbon Solvents on systemic toxicity are slight. A battery of neurotoxicity and neurobehavioral endpoints were evaluated in the 3-month inhalation study on C9 aromatic naphtha tested at concentrations of 0, 101, 452, or 1320 ppm (0, 500, 2,220, or 6,500 mg/m3). In this study, other than a transient weight reduction in the high exposure group (not statistically significant at termination of exposures), no effects were reported on neuropathology or neuro/behavioral parameters. The NOAEL for systemic and/or neurotoxicity was 6,500 mg/m3, the highest concentration tested. In an inhalation study of a commercial blend, rats were exposed to C9 aromatic naphtha concentrations of 0, 96, 198, or 373 ppm (0, 470, 970, 1830 mg/m3) for 6 hr/day, 5 days/week, for 12 months. Liver and kidney weights were increased in the high exposure group but no accompanying histopathology was observed in these organs.

The NOAEL was considered to be the high exposure level of 373 ppm, or 1830 mg/m3. In two subchronic rat inhalation studies, both of three months duration, rats were exposed to the individual TMB isomers (1,2,4-and 1,3,5-) to nominal concentrations of 0, 25, 100, or 250 ppm (0, 123, 492, or 1230 mg/m3). Respiratory irritation was observed at 492 (100 ppm) and 1230 mg/m3 (250 ppm) and no systemic toxicity was observed in either study. For both pure isomers, the NOELs are 25 ppm or 123 mg/m3 for respiratory irritation and 250 ppm or 1230 mg/m3 for systemic effects.

Oral: The C9 aromatic naphtha has not been tested via the oral route of exposure. Individual TMB isomers have been evaluated in a series of repeated-dose oral studies ranging from 14 days to 3 months over a wide range of doses. The effects observed in these studies included increased liver and kidney weights, changes in blood chemistry, increased salivation, and decreased weight gain at higher doses. Organ weight changes appeared to be adaptive as they were not accompanied by histopathological effects. Blood changes appeared sporadic and without pattern. One study reported hyaline droplet nephropathy in male rats at the highest dose (1000 mg/kg bw-day), an effect that is often associated with alpha-2mu-globulin-induced nephropathy and not considered relevant to humans. The doses at which effects were detected were 100 mg/kg-bw day or above (an exception was the pilot 14 day oral study - LOAEL 150 mg/kg bw-day - but the follow up three month study had a LOAEL of 600 mg/kg/bw-day with a NOAEL of 200 mg/kg bw-day). Since effects generally were not severe and could be considered adaptive or spurious, oral exposure does not appear to pose a high toxicity hazard for pure trimethylbenzene isomers.

In vitro genotoxicity testing of a variety of C9 aromatics has been conducted in both bacterial and mammalian cells. In vitro point mutation tests were conducted with Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli bacterial strains, as well as with cultured mammalian cells such as the Chinese hamster cell ovary cells (HGPRT assay) with and without metabolic activation. In addition, several types of in vitro chromosomal aberration tests have been performed (chromosome aberration frequency in Chinese hamster ovary and lung cells, sister chromatid exchange in CHO cells). Results were negative both with and without metabolic activation for all category members. For the supporting chemical 1,2,3-TMB, a single in vitro chromosome aberration test was weakly positive. In in vivo bone marrow cytogenetics test, rats were exposed to C9 aromatic naphtha at concentrations of 0, 153, 471, or 1540 ppm (0, 750, 2,310, or 7,560 mg/m3) 6 hr/day, for 5 days. No evidence of in vivo somatic cell genotoxicity was detected. Based on the cumulative results of these assays, genetic toxicity is unlikely for substances in the C9 Aromatic Hydrocarbon Solvents Category

#### Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Results from the three-generation reproduction inhalation study in rats indicate limited effects from C9 aromatic naphtha. In each of three generations (F0, F1 and F2), rats were exposed to High Flash Aromatic Naphtha (CAS RN 64742-95-6) via whole body inhalation at target concentrations of 0, 100, 500, or 1500 ppm (actual mean concentrations throughout the full study period were 0, 103, 495, or 1480 ppm, equivalent to 0, 505, 2430, or 7265 mg/m3, respectively). In each generation, both sexes were exposed for 10 weeks prior to and two weeks during mating for 6 hrs/day, 5 days/wks. Female rats in the F0, F1, and F2 generation were then exposed during gestation days 0-20 and lactation days 5-21 for 6 hrs/day, 7 days/wk. The age at exposure initiation differed among generations; F0 rats were exposed starting at 9 weeks of age, F1 exposure began at 5-7 weeks, and F2 exposure began at postnatal day (PND) 22. In the F0 and F1 parental generations, 30 rats/sex /group were exposed and mated. However, in the F2 generation, 40/sex/group were initially exposed due to concerns for toxicity, and 30/sex /group were randomly selected for mating, except that all survivors were used at 1480 ppm. F3 litters were not exposed directly and were sacrificed on lactation day 21.

#### Systemic Effects on Parental Generations:

The F0 males showed statistically and biologically significantly decreased mean body weight by ~15% at 1480 ppm when compared with controls. Seven females died or were sacrificed in extremis at 1480 ppm. The F0 female rats in the 495 ppm exposed group had a 13% decrease in body weight gain when adjusted for initial body weight when compared to controls. The F1 parents at 1480 ppm had statistically significantly decreased mean body weights (by ~13% (females) and 22% (males)), and locomotor activity. F1 parents at 1480 ppm had increased ataxia and mortality (six females). Most F2 parents (70/80) exposed to 1480 ppm died within the first week. The remaining animals survived throughout the rest of the exposure period. At week 4 and continuing through the study, F2 parents at 1480 ppm ware also reduced significantly (by 13% in males and 15% in females). The male rats in the 495 ppm exposed group had a 12% decrease in body weight gain when adjusted for initial body weight when compared to controls. Based on reduced body weight observed, the overall systemic toxicity LOAEC is 495 ppm (2430 mg/m3). Reproductive Toxicity-Effects on Parental Generations: There were no pathological changes noted in the reproductive organs of any animal of the F0, F1, or F2 generation. Also, there were no statistically significant generation, and or biogically significant period, number of implantation sites, or post-implantation loss in any generation. Also, there were no statistically significant generation al litter, number of females delivering a litter, number of males delivering a litter, number of females delivering a litter, male

	attributed to the test substance. No reproductive effect excessive mortality at the highest concentration (1480 However, no clear signs of reproductive toxicity were- (2430 mg/m3), which excludes analysis of the highest Developmental Toxicity - Effects on Pups: Because of concentration (1480 ppm), effects in offspring at 1480 generation offspring at 103 or 495 ppm. However, in F controls at 495 ppm for approximately a week (PND 1 gestational period compared with controls. The overal weights reductions observed in the F3 offspring. Conclusion: No effects on reproductive parameters we exposed at the highest concentration was not possible observed at a concentration that was also associated for petroleum: Altered mental state, drowsiness, peripheral motor ne seizures, and sudden death have been reported from This product contains toluene. There are indications fn hearing loss. This product contains toluene. There are indications fn hearing loss. This product contains thyl benzene and naphthalene <b>Carcinogenicity</b> : Inhalation exposure to mice causes causes kidney tumours which are not considered rele <b>Mutagenicity</b> : There is a large database of mutageni endpoints and give predominantly negative results. All station attendants) have shown negative results in m. <b>Reproductive Toxicity</b> : Repeated exposure of pregn developmental effects, such as lower birth weight and study in rats exposed to gasoline vapour condensate, <b>Human Effects</b> : Prolonged/ repeated contact may ca susceptible to irritation and penetration by other mater Lifetime exposure of rodents to gasoline produces car kidney. Such abnormal accumulation represents ly debris, mineralisation of renal medullary tubules and r neoplastic transformation with continued exposure. T	ts were observed in the F0 or F1 dam ) ppm, only six dams available) in the observed in the F2 generation. Theref c concentration due to excessive mortal significant maternal toxicity (including ppm are not reported here. No signifi F3 offspring, body weights and body weight of l developmental LOAEC from this stur- ere observed at any exposure concent e. A potential developmental effect (re- with maternal toxicity. uropathy, irreversible brain damage (s repeated overexposure to some hydric cause acute myeloid leukaemia and re- rom animal studies that prolonged exp from which there is evidence of tumo a liver tumours, which are not consider vant to humans. city studies on gasoline and gasoline I in vivo studies in animals and recent tragenicity assays. ant rats to high concentrations of tolued d developmental neurotoxicity, on the fer no adverse effects on the foetus were use defatting of the skin which can lear rials. rcinogenicity although the relevance to mulation of the alpha2-microglobulin p resosmal overload and leads to chroni hecrosis. A sustained regenerative pro- te alpha2-microglobulin is produced u	F2 generation,, a complete evaluation is precluded. fore, the reproductive NOAEC is considered 495 ppm ality. g mortality) in dams in all generations at the highest cath effects were observed in the F1 and F2 reight gain were reduced by ~ 10-11% compared with was also depressed by ~ 12% throughout the dy is 495 ppm (2430 mg/m3) based on the body tration, although a confident assessment of the group duction in mean pup weight and weight gain) was so-called Petrol Sniffer's Encephalopathy), delirium, ocarbon solvents, naphthas, and gasoline -hexane which has been shown to metabolize to bosure to high concentrations of toluene may lead to urs in rodents red relevant to humans. Inhalation exposure to rats blending streams, which use a wide variety of studies in exposed humans (e.g. petrol service ene (around or exceeding 1000 ppm) can cause oetus. However, in a two-generation reproductive a observed. ad to dermatitis and may make the skin more b humans has been questioned. Gasoline induces protein in hyaline droplets in the male (but not female) c renal tubular cell degeneration, accumulation of cell pliferation occurs in epithelial cells with subsequent
	neoplastic transformation with continued exposure. The but not in females and, more importantly, not in human		nder the influence of hormonal controls in male rats
Acute Toxicity	×	Carcinogenicity	×
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	¥	Reproductivity	×
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	×	STOT - Single Exposure	✓
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	×	STOT - Repeated Exposure	✓

Legend:

# SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Mutagenicity

×

TH	ENDPOINT	<b>TEST DURATION (HR)</b>		SPECIES	VALUE		SOURCE
WANNATE <sup>™</sup> HTBL-275MS	Not Available	vailable Not Available		Not Available		Not Available No	
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECI	ES		VALUE	SOURCE
5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked	LC50	96	Fish			562mg/L	2
HDI trimer	EC50	48	Crusta	cea		>100mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants		3	>100mg/L	2
ropylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	ENDPOINT LC50 EC50 EC50 NOEC	TEST DURATION (HR)           96           48           72           96				VALUE           100mg/L           373mg/L           >1-mg/L           >=1-mg/L	SOURCE           1           2           2           2           2           2
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES			VALUE	SOURCE
nonktho notroloum light	LC50	96	Fish			4.1mg/L	2
naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent	EC50	48	Crusta	Crustacea		3.2mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae	Algae or other aquatic plants		>1-mg/L	2
	NOEC	72	Algoo	or other aquatic plan	to	=1mg/L	1

Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite

Aspiration Hazard

×

X − Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification
→ Data available to make classification

V3.12 (QSAR) - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA. Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Harmful to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.

Do NOT allow product to come in contact with surface waters or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Do not contaminate water when cleaning equipment or disposing of equipment wash-waters

Wastes resulting from use of the product must be disposed of on site or at approved waste sites. for propylene glycol ethers:

Environmental fate:

Most are liquids at room temperature and all are water-soluble.

Typical propylene glycol ethers include propylene glycol n-butyl ether (PnB); dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether (DPnB); dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether acetate (DPMA); tripropylene glycol methyl ether (TPM)

Environmental fate: Log octanol-water partition coefficients (log Kow's) range from 0.309 for TPM to 1.523 for DPnB. Calculated BCFs range from 1.47 for DPnB to 3.16 for DPMA and TPM, indicating low bioaccumulation. Henry's Law Constants, which indicate propensity to partition from water to air, are low for all category members, ranging from 5.7 x 10-9 atm-m3/mole for TPM to 2.7 x10-9 atm-m3/mole for PnB. Fugacity modeling indicates that most propylene glycol ethers are likely to partition roughly equally into the soil and water compartments in the environment with small to negligible amounts remaining in other environmental compartments (air, sediment, and aquatic biota). Propylene glycol ethers are unlikely to persist in the environment. Once in air, the half-life of the category members due to direct reactions with photochemically generated hydroxyl radicals, range from 2.0 hours for TPM to 4.6 hours for PnB. In water, most members of this family are 'readily biodegradable' under aerobic conditions. (DPMA degraded within 28 days (and within the specified 10-day window) but only using pre-adapted or 'acclimated' inoculum.). In soil, biodegradation is rapid for PM and PMA. Ecotoxicity:

Acute aquatic toxicity testing indicates low toxicity for both ethers and acetates. For ethers, effect concentrations are > 500 mg/L. For acetates, effect concentrations are > 151 mg/L. DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways

#### Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	LOW	LOW

#### **Bioaccumulative potential**

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	LOW (LogKOW = 0.56)

#### Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha-isomer	HIGH (KOC = 1.838)

#### Other adverse effects

No data available

#### SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### Waste treatment methods Legislation addressing waste disposal requirements may differ by country, state and/ or territory. Each user must refer to laws operating in their area. In some areas, certain wastes must be tracked A Hierarchy of Controls seems to be common - the user should investigate: Reduction Reuse Recycling Disposal (if all else fails) This material may be recycled if unused, or if it has not been contaminated so as to make it unsuitable for its intended use. If it has been contaminated, it may be possible to reclaim the product by filtration, distillation or some other means. Shelf life considerations should also be applied in making decisions of this type. Note that properties of a material may change in use, and recycling or reuse may not always be Waste chemicals: appropriate. DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal. In all cases disposal to sever may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first. Where in doubt contact the responsible authority. Recycle wherever possible. Consult manufacturer for recycling options or consult local or regional waste management authority for disposal if no suitable treatment or disposal facility can be identified. Dispose of by: burial in a land-fill specifically licensed to accept chemical and / or pharmaceutical wastes or Incineration in a licensed apparatus (after admixture with suitable combustible material). • Decontaminate empty containers. Observe all label safeguards until containers are cleaned and destroyed. Contaminated packing Refer to section above materials: Precautions for Transport: Refer to section above

### **SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION**

Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	NO
Land transport (UN)	

#### UN number 1866 **RESIN SOLUTION, flammable** UN proper shipping name Class 3 Transport hazard class(es) Subrisk Not Applicable Packing group Ш Not Applicable Environmental hazard Special provisions 223 Special precautions for user Limited quantity 5 L

#### Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR)

UN number	1866		
UN proper shipping name	Resin solution flammable	e	
	ICAO/IATA Class	3	
Transport hazard class(es)	ICAO / IATA Subrisk	Not Applicable	
	ERG Code	3L	
Packing group	Ш		
Environmental hazard	Not Applicable		
	Special provisions		A3
	Cargo Only Packing In	structions	366
	Cargo Only Maximum		220 L
Special precautions for user	Passenger and Cargo	•	355
	Passenger and Cargo Maximum Qty / Pack		60 L
	Passenger and Cargo	Passenger and Cargo Limited Quantity Packing Instructions	
	Passenger and Cargo	Limited Maximum Qty / Pack	10 L

# Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee)

UN number	1866
UN proper shipping name	RESIN SOLUTION flammable
Transport hazard class(es)	IMDG Class     3       IMDG Subrisk     Not Applicable
Packing group	III
Environmental hazard	Not Applicable
Special precautions for user	EMS NumberF-E , S-ESpecial provisions223 955Limited Quantities5 L

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

#### **Precautions for Transport**

Transportation precautions:

- Documentation covering all dangerous goods carried on the vehicle
- The transport unit must be placarded and marked in accordance with relevant transporting requirements.

• Personal protective equipment must be in sufficient quantities and suitable for use by the driver of the vehicle and where required for escape purposes, any other persons travelling in the vehicle.

- Vehicles transporting dangerous goods need to be equipped with sufficient and adequate fire protection systems and emergency equipment to handle spillages.
- Use flameproof or intrinsically safe electrical equipment
- Ensure all ignition sources are identified and eliminated or reduced where there is any likelihood of an incident due to a spill or release of fire risk dangerous goods.
- Likely to be incompatible however refer to SDS for further details:
- Class 2.1. 2.2, 2.2 (with subrisk 5.1), 2.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1
- If applicable, use appropriate types of segregation devices to isolate incompatible dangerous goods:
- Incompatible for transport with foodstuffs (including stock feed).
- Routes for road vehicles should avoid heavily populated or environmentally sensitive areas, congested crossings or a concentration of people

Vehicle exhaust or hot engine components must be shielded to ensure cargo temperatures cannot be raised.

#### Suitable Containers

See section 7

### **SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION**

#### Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

# 3,5-DIMETHYLPYRAZOLE BLOCKED HDI TRIMER IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

China Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances

### PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOMETHYL ETHER ACETATE, ALPHA-ISOMER IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

China Air Transport Dangerous Goods List	IMO IBC Code Chapter 17: Summary of minimum requirements
China Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances	International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
China List of Dangerous Goods (GB 12268-2012) (Chinese)	International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
GESAMP/EHS Composite List - GESAMP Hazard Profiles	United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations

#### NAPHTHA PETROLEUM, LIGHT AROMATIC SOLVENT IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List	International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
China Air Transport Dangerous Goods List	International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
China Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances	United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model
China List of Dangerous Goods (GB 12268-2012) (Chinese)	Regulations

# National/Territory Inventory Status

National/Territory Inventory	Status
Australia - AICS	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer; naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent; propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, alpha- isomer)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer)
Japan - ENCS	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer; naphtha petroleum, light aromatic solvent)
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer)
USA - TSCA	Yes
China Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer)
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - ARIPS	No (3,5-Dimethylpyrazole blocked HDI trimer)
Legend:	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets)

### **SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION**

Revision Date	05/02/2020
Initial Date	08/01/2018

#### SDS Version Summary

Version	Issue Date	Sections Updated
9.17.1.1.1	05/02/2020	Acute Health (eye), Acute Health (inhaled), Acute Health (skin), Classification, Environmental, First Aid (eye)

#### Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

#### Definitions and abbreviations

PC-TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average PC-STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit

IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations

OSF: Odour Safety Factor

NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level

LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level TLV: Threshold Limit Value LOD: Limit Of Detection OTV: Odour Threshold Value BCF: BioConcentration Factors BEI: Biological Exposure Index

# Disclaimer

The information in the SDS applies only for the specified product and does not include mixtures of this product with other substances and mixtures. The SDS provides product safety information for personnel trainned to use this product only.

Powered by AuthorITe, from Chemwatch.